GDP growth Rate of States

†1984. SHRI RAJ MOHINDER SINGH MAJITHA:
SHRI RAVI SHANKAR PRASAD:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the GDP growth rate during the financial year 2002-03, 2003-04, 2004-05 in each State of the country has been dissimilar;

(b) if so, the GDP growth rate in each State of the country during the said periods; and

(c) the names of the sectors which have made maximum contribution to GDP in each State?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING (SHRI M.V. RAJASEKHARAN): (a) and (b) Yes, Sir. State wise growth rates of Gross State Domestic Product (GSDP), a measure of GDP at State level, at constant prices for the year 2002-03, 2003-04 are given in the Statement I (*See* below). For the year 2004-05, the data are not available.

(c) Importance of various sectors in terms of their contribution to GSDP varies from State to State. Contributions of three broad sectors namely, Agriculture, Industry and Services to GSDP state wise are given in the Statement-II.

Statement-I

Growth rate of GSDP

Sl. No.	States/UTs	2002-03	2003-04
1.	A & Nicobar	3.3%	NA
2.	A.P.	2.6%	7.6%
3.	Ar. Pradesh	-1.6%	10.3%
4.	Assam	3.3%	5.5%
5.	Bihar	17.9%	-6.7%
6.	Chandigarh	8.7%	10.9%
7.	Chhattisgarh	1.6%	16.8%

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

Sl. No.	States/UTs	2002-03	2003-04
8.	Delhi	7.5%	9.3%
9.	Goa	6.5%	NA
10.	Gujarat	10.1%	15.4%
11.	Haryana	5.0%	8.6%
12.	H.P.	4.5%	8.1%
13.	J&K	NA	NA
14.	Jharkhand	16.0%	9.7%
15.	Karnataka	5.3%	6.2%
16.	Kerala	6.6%	6.3%
17.	M.P.	-5.6%	18.6%
18.	Maharashtra	7.8%	7.3%
19.	Manipur	9.8%	10.5%
20.	Meghalaya	5.1%	NA
21.	Mizoram	5.3%	NA
22.	Nagaland	NA	NA
23.	Orissa	-0.1%	14.4%
24.	Pondicherry	3.5%	3.9%
25.	Punjab	1.4%	5.3%
26.	Rajasthan	-6.5%	14.7%
27.	Sikkim	NA	NA
28.	Tamilnadu	3.0%	3.6%
29.	Tripura	6.5%	NA
30.	U.P. (divided)	0.4%	5.8%
31.	Uttaranchal	NA	NA
32.	W. Bengal	7.2%	7.5%
	All India GDP	4.0%	8.5%

Source: For Sl. 1-32—Directorate of Economics & Statistics of respective State Governments, and for All-India—Central Statistical Organisation.

NA: Not Available.

Statement-II

Sector-wise contribution of GSDP during 2001-02 to 2003-04 (in %)

Sl. No.	States/UTs	Agriculture	Industry	Services	Total GSDP
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	A & Nicobar	29.5%	24.6%	45.9%	100.0%
2.	A.P.	25.4%	26.1%	48.5%	100.0%
3.	Ar. Pradesh	29.7%	24.9%	45.4%	100.0%
4.	Assam	33.1%	20.5%	46.3%	100.0%
5.	Bihar	41.6%	10.0%	48.4%	100.0%
6.	Chandigarh	1.1%	25.6%	73.3%	100.0%
7.	Chhattisgarh	20.5%	39.9%	39.6%	100.0%
8.	Delhi	1.1%	21.5%	77.4%	100.0%
9.	Goa	8.8%	44.1%	47.1%	100.0%
10.	Gujarat	17.1%	40.8%	42.1%	100.0%
11.	Haryana	29.8%	28.3%	41.9%	100.0%
12.	H.P.	21.0%	36.8%	42.2%	100.0%
13.	J&K	NA	NA	NA	NA
14.	Jharkhand	23.9%	47.7%	28.4%	100.0%
15.	Karnataka	21.7%	28.7%	49.5%	100.0%
16.	Kerala	17.7%	20.9%	61.4%	100.0%
17.	M.P.	28.7%	31.2%	40.1%	100.0%
18.	Maharashtra	14.2%	29.4%	56.4%	100.0%
19.	Manipur	27.2%	23.3%	49.5%	100.0%
20.	Meghalaya	23.2%	23.7%	53.1%	100.0%
21.	Mizoram	18.8%	16.0%	65.2%	100.0%
22.	Nagaland	NA	NA	NA	NA
23.	Orissa	28.5%	26.8%	44.8%	100.0%

1	2	3	4	5	6
24.	Pondicherry	5.7%	52.4%	41.8%	100.0%
25.	Punjab	38.8%	24.0%	37.3%	100.0%
26.	Rajasthan	26.2%	30.4%	43.4%	100.0%
27.	Sikkim	NA	NA	NA	NA
28.	Tamilnadu	14.5%	32.3%	53.2%	100.0%
29.	Tripura	24.2%	22.9%	52.9%	100.0%
30.	U.P. (divided)	34.1%	24.6%	41.3%	100.0%
31.	Uttaranchal	NA	NA	NA	NA
32.	W. Bengal	24.9%	22.3%	52.8%	100.0%
	All India GDP	22.4%	27.0%	50.6%	100.0%

NOTE:1 Estimated in the Planning Commission on the basis of data obtained from Central Statistical Organisation.

2. For A & N Island, Goa, Meghalaya, Mizoram and Tripura, the sector-wise contribution related to the period 2001-02 to 2002-03.

3 NA: Not Available.

BPL status to a person

1985. DR. GYAN PRAKASH PILANIA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the parameters/criteria for granting status of Below Poverty Line to a person;

(b) whether they are different for urban and rural areas;

(c) the total number of Below Poverty Line persons in the country with State-wise break up;

(d) what percentage it is of total population of the country as well as States;

(e) whether there is any improvement or deterioration in Below Poverty Line scenario in the country; and